

Implementing a Navigation Intervention in Differing Geographic Locations; Implications for Scalability and Sustainability

Patrick E. Timony^{1,2}, Alain P. Gauthier^{1,2}, Chloé Jutras^{1,2}, Nathalie Bourgoïn^{1,2}, Darene Toal-Sullivan³, Andrea Perna³, Natacha Ndiokubwayo³, Claire Kendall⁴, Manon Lemonde⁵, Kamila Premji⁴, Denis Prud'homme^{4,6}, Simone Dahrouge^{3,4}

¹Centre for Rural and Northern Health Research, ²Laurentian University, ³Bruyère Research Institute, ⁴University of Ottawa, ⁵Ontario Tech University, ⁶Institut du Savoir Montfort

Context

- Community-based health and social resources (CRs) can help patients achieve better health and well-being. However, patients with social vulnerabilities who could most benefit from such resources are least likely to utilize them.
- Intervention: A patient-centered navigation model integrated within primary care practices (PCPs) with the intention to improve equitable Access to Resources in the Community (ARC) for primary care patients.

Objective

To explore differences in the implementation of the ARC intervention by geographic context and practice model, and to identify essential elements for future scalability and sustainability.

Setting

Region of Ontario	Ottawa (Eastern)	Sudbury (Northern)
Population (2016)*	934,243	161,531
Francophones*	17.3%	25.9%
Fair/poor perceived health†	6%	15.5%
Low income†	12.6%	13%
Unemployed†	7.2%	8.8%

* Statistics Canada, Census Profile, 2017.; † Public Health Ontario, Snapshots, 2019.

Results

THE ARC MODEL

Regional Differences

	Practice Models	Providers	Referral Process	Referral Patterns
Referral from Primary Care Provider	Ottawa 8 Non-interprofessional	Ottawa 36 Family Physicians	Ottawa FAX	Ottawa Top 5 needs: Mental health (Health) 62%, Physical activity (Health) 38%, Healthy eating (Health) 30%, Self-management (Health) 26%, Chronic pain (Health) 24%
	Sudbury 4 Interprofessional Including: Pharmacist, Social Worker, Registered Dietitian.	Sudbury 17 Family Physicians 5 Nurse Practitioners	Sudbury EMR	Sudbury Top 5 needs: Finances (Social) 62%, Mental health (Health) 44%, Physical activity (Health) 26%, Healthy eating (Health) 23%, Parenting and family support (Social) 22%
Meeting with ARC Navigator	Ottawa Independent	Ottawa Public Location Primary Care Practice	Ottawa Phone, Email	Ottawa Patients Access Barriers Top 5 barriers: Knowledge 97%, Financial 66%, Emotional 63%, Social support 62%, Confidence 49%
	Sudbury Charted in EMR	Sudbury Primary Care Practice	Sudbury Phone, Email	Sudbury Patients Access Barriers Top 5 barriers: Knowledge 71%, Motivation 61%, Transportation 50%, Financial 50%, Paperwork 32%
Accessing Community Resources	Ottawa 1. Communicate with resource staff 2. Provide information about services 3. Provide emotional support 4. Schedule appointments 5. Advocate on behalf of patient 6. Assist with completing forms	Ottawa Reporting to Providers: FAX	Ottawa Location of Services: Largely within neighbourhoods	
	Sudbury 1. Provide emotional support 2. Provide information about services 3. Communicate with resource staff 4. Assist with completing forms 5. Advocate on behalf of patient 6. Schedule appointments	Sudbury Reporting to Providers: EMR	Sudbury Location of Services: Throughout the city	

Conclusion

Similarities and differences in the implementation of the navigation intervention in both geographic regions and in differing practice models will inform larger scale implementations and sustainability of the ARC navigator model in PCPs.

Key Message

Independent of regional differences and/or level of integration within PCPs, essential elements of the ARC navigation model include:

- Providers identifying social and health needs and referring patients
- ARC navigator meeting with patients in person to prioritize needs
- ARC navigator helping patients overcome access barriers

Funding Agencies



Remerciement:



Nous remercions le CNFS Volet Université Laurentienne d'avoir contribué au financement du déplacement pour assister à ce congrès.

Partners:

